

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/563,909	PIROVANO ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b> BHISMA MEHTA	<b>Art Unit</b> 3767

**All Participants:**

(1) BHISMA MEHTA.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) David Zibelli.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 27 January 2010

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*none*

Claims discussed:

*86 and 89*

Prior art documents discussed:

*none*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Amendments to claims 86 and 89 were discussed and agreed upon as indicated in the Examiner's Amendment.*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Bhisma Mehta/  
Examiner, Art Unit 3767

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)